



Segment routing allows for dynamic label allocation in the same manner as LDP does while also providing static path assignment in the vain of RSVP-TE, without the associated state in the network.

```
A:pel# show router mpls-labels label-range
_____
Label Ranges
_____
             Start Label End Label Aging
Label Type
                                                 Available Total
_____

        Static
        32
        18431
        -
        18400
        18400

        Dynamic
        18432
        131071
        0
        112581
        112640

        Seg-Route
        19000
        19050
        -
        0
        51

                                                             112640
*A:pe1# show router isis database detail pe1.00-00 | match expression
"GB|Prefi"
      SRGB Base:19000, Range:51
   Prefix : 192.0.2.12
     Prefix-SID Index:12, Algo:0, Flags:NnP
*A:pe1# show router isis database detail pe2.00-00 | match expression
"GB|Prefi'
      SRGB Base:19000, Range:51
   Prefix : 192.0.2.16
     Prefix-SID Index:16, Algo:0, Flags:NnP
```

One of the base elements of Segment Routing is the concept of the SRGB, or global block. As different vendors have allocated various label ranges to protocols there must be a way to ensure every SR node in the network understands the base allocation. Here PE1 is using SRGB 19000 to 19050 (range of 51) and its local Prefix SID (Node SID) is index 12. PE2 uses the same SRGB and advertises this in IS-IS. Note its prefix-SID index is 16 so PE1 deduces its Node SID label is 19016.



This is a packet capture taken between P1 and P4 on the shortest path to PE2 for an echo reply, originating on PE1.



Push is the same function as with generic MPLS. Continue is similar to the swap but if the SRGB is in use the value will be the same. Next pops the label and follows the next instruction.



If we wish to control the path more explicitly a la RSVP-TE we can push a label stack at the iLER. Here we are using a controller to push a three label stack. The outer label of 19001 identifies P1 in the network. Once P1 receives this value it knows to pop it and forwards the packet towards P2 based on the 262138 Adj-SID for that link. P2 continues with a continue of label 19016, followed by P3 all the way to the eLER PE2.



SR gives us the ability to have TI-LFA, or Topology Independent Loop Free Alternates. There is no requirement to use targeted LDP sessions as we do with Remote LFA. Loop free paths can be installed ready for service upon a link or nodal failure. Should the link between PE1 and P1 fail, LFA will allows us to reroute around the problem without the risk of a microloop

deb router ip route-table 192.0.2.16/32 show router route-t alternative protocol isis show router bfd session show router isis Ifa



Did you know BGP can signal transport labels? Let's take a look!



Consider an all BGP data center. We have two spine routers, two leaves and two hosts. Each of the devices are running eBGP and only eBGP. By using the advertise label functionality for IPv4 unicast, we can build a complete MPLS transport network with BGP. Why eBGP? I dont have to worry about split horizon, messing with iBGP route reflectors and I can control policy with much greater ease.



We run eBGP between link local addresses and export our system address in to BGP.



Here is the configuration on R3 for example. This ensured we propagate labels to our directly connected routers. We can see our neighbours label status using show router bgp neigh \$address | match Label

```
A:all_the_routers# show router policy "TO_BGP"

entry 10

from

prefix-list "LOCAL"

exit

to

protocol bgp

exit

action accept

exit

exit

A:all_the_routers# show router policy prefix-list "LOCAL"

prefix 192.0.2.x/32 exact
```

BGP	Router ID:192.0.2.9	AS:64500	Local AS	:64500	
<snip< th=""><th>></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></snip<>	>				
Flag	Network Nexthop (Router) As-Path			LocalPref Path-Id	
u*>i	192.0.2.2/32 10.1.9.1 64498 64496 64499			None None	None 131064
u*>i	192.0.2.3/32 10.1.9.1			None None	None 131066
u*>i	64498 64496 192.0.2.4/32 10.1.9.1			None None	None 131069
i	64498 64497 192.0.2.9/32 10.1.9.1			None None	None 131071
	64498 64500				

We have label allocations for the two most important routers from host1s perspective: its future all-but-vanilla router reflector and the path to 192.0.2.13/32

```
group "rr"
               family vpn-ipv4 vpn-ipv6 12-vpn mvpn-ipv4 evpn
               type external
               export "TO_BGP"
               peer-as 64497
               neighbor 192.0.2.4
               exit
           exit
group "vpn_clients"
               family vpn-ipv4 vpn-ipv6 12-vpn mvpn-ipv4 evpn
               type external
               multihop 10
               cluster 0.0.0.3
               neighbor 192.0.2.9
                   peer-as 64500
               exit
               neighbor 192.0.2.13
                   peer-as 64501
               exit
           exit
```

Once we have an LSP from each device to every other we can create our services BGP peerings. S1 and S2 are route reflectors and they are running inter AS VPNs.



Here we see the transport label, as signalled by eBGP IPv4 and the service label signalled by MPBGP VPNv4

192.0.2.3	64496	472 99	0 00h42m15s 0	2/1/2 (VpnIPv4) 2/1/2 (VpnIPv6) 2/1/2 (L2VPN) 0/0/0 (MvpnIPv4) 0/0/0 (Evpn)
	64497	473 478	0 03h51m56s 0	2/0/2 (VpnIPv4) 2/0/2 (VpnIPv6) 2/0/2 (L2VPN) 0/0/0 (MvpnIPv4) 0/0/0 (Evpn)

Even Kanye didn't see that coming, so many address families.

